

The effects of read-aloud assistance, vocabulary and background knowledge on comprehension of health-related texts of Sri-Lankan English as second language speakers



RESEARCH

MICHAEL RATAJCZAK 

BIMALI INDRARATHNE

JUDIT KORMOS

**Author affiliations can be found in the back matter of this article*

ABSTRACT

In order to understand the role of the factors that can predict the comprehension of health-related texts in a second language (L2), we conducted a study that examines whether allowing L2 users to listen to a health-related text while reading it affects understanding. We also investigated what role general and health-related vocabulary knowledge play in the comprehension of health-related texts in a silent-reading and reading-while-listening conditions. Our participants were 259 Sri Lankan L2 users who read two health-related texts silently and read two other texts while listening to the text being read out to them. They also completed an L2 vocabulary knowledge and a health-related vocabulary knowledge test. We used Generalized Linear Mixed-Effects Models to predict the effect of text presentation mode, L2 and health-related vocabulary knowledge on comprehension. The results showed no significant effect of text presentation mode. However, both L2 vocabulary knowledge and health-related vocabulary knowledge were found to play a substantial role in text comprehension. Our findings also revealed that Sri Lankan L2 users generally demonstrated inadequate comprehension of health-related texts. To promote a higher level of health-related text comprehension in an additional language, the general and health-specific L2 vocabulary knowledge and language proficiency of the population needs to be improved.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Professor Judit Kormos

Department of Linguistics and
English Language, Lancaster
University, Lancaster, LA1 4YF,
GB

j.kormos@lancaster.ac.uk

KEYWORDS:

Read-aloud assistance; health-related vocabulary knowledge; health comprehension; second language

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Ratajczak, M., Indrarathne, B., & Kormos, J. (2021). The effects of read-aloud assistance, vocabulary and background knowledge on comprehension of health-related texts of Sri-Lankan English as second language speakers. *Journal of the European Second Language Association*, 5(1), 133–147. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22599/jesla.78>

our study is the first one that analyzes the role of general and domain-specific vocabulary knowledge in multi-modal comprehension, as previous research has only focused on single mode of text presentation.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. SILENT READING AND READING-WHILE LISTENING

Word-level reading skills play an important role in all key theories of reading comprehension both in L1 and L2. Among L2 readers lack of or gaps in the knowledge of the written form and meaning of lexical items can lead to the breakdown of reading comprehension. L2 readers often have smaller vocabulary size, less rich lexical knowledge and demonstrate slower speed in lexical access than L1 readers (Brysbart et al., 2017; Geva & Farnia, 2012), which can result in impaired text comprehension. One way to support L2 comprehension might be the opportunity to listen to a text while reading as it might facilitate the decoding of written words. In L1 research it has been found that hearing the spoken form of lexical items alongside seeing the orthographic form might aid word recognition and speed up the retrieval of word meaning (Ferrand & Grainger, 1993). This potential benefit of reading-while-listening at word-level decoding might free up attentional resources for higher level reading processes and ultimately support text comprehension in both L1 and L2. One can also hypothesize that reading-while-listening facilitates comprehension based on dual-modality theory (Moreno & Mayer, 2002; Paivio, 1991). According to dual-modality theory, when information is presented in a dual mode, it is processed through both visual and auditory channels, which then can help L1 and L2 readers retain information and build connections among ideas. Finally, reading-while-listening can offer additional support through non-linguistic cues such as intonation and pausing. As sentence intonation follows phrase-level units, it might help readers to identify chunks of phrases that carry meaning. In a recent eye-tracking study, Conklin et al. (2020) found that L1 participants displayed more and longer fixations and skipped fewer words and made more regressions in the reading-while-listening condition than in the silent reading. This shows that reading is slowed down by the audio input but suggests that L1 users might read more carefully in the dual mode.

The potential impact of multi-modal text input on L1 reading comprehension has been primarily investigated in the field of disability research, where studies have examined whether students with and without disabilities benefit differentially from multi-modal text presentation. Li's (2014) meta-analysis found that the dual mode of text input had a small sized effect on reading scores of both groups of students, although those with learning difficulties seemed to benefit to a higher degree. The conclusions of Buzick and Stone's (2014) meta-analysis were similar and showed that in reading-while-listening conditions non-disabled students' reading scores were .21 standard deviation units higher than in the silent reading condition.

The impact of multi-modal text presentation on comprehension has also been researched in the L2 field. In a study with bilingual Spanish-English students, Reed, Swanson, Petscher, and Vaughn (2014) found no difference in the amount of information retained in reading-while-listening condition compared to the condition where the students read silently. Participants in their study also reported that they preferred silent reading and found listening to a text read out to them distracting. This might have been the case because they were relatively proficient readers and the pace of oral reading was slower than their silent reading speed. Kozan et al.'s (2015) study showed no impact of the dual presentation mode (audio and visual) on the recall of information from a reading text either. Kozan et al. explain their findings with reference to the modality principle according to which "when written verbal information accompanies visual information (i.e., visual-only presentation), they, at least initially, compete for the same resources in the visual channel of working memory (WM), thereby possibly overloading it." (p. 63). A more recent study conducted in Slovenia by Košak-Babuder et al. (2019) also demonstrated limited benefits of reading-while-listening for young English language learners who did not have an official dyslexia identification. However, with the assistance of bimodal text presentation dyslexic students achieved higher comprehension scores on difficult texts. Nonetheless, there is also some evidence for the beneficial effects of dual text presentation mode for L2 text comprehension. Although Pellicer-Sanchez et al. (2018) found no difference in story comprehension scores in the written and bimodal presentation mode for L2 children,

the reading-while listening mode allowed their participants to spend more time processing the visuals accompanying the text. Conklin et al.'s (2020) recent eye-tracking study showed that L2 readers' gaze was better aligned with the reading text in the dual presentation mode than that of L1 readers. They observed that the eye-movements of L2 readers with lower vocabulary knowledge followed the audio input even more closely than those of readers with larger vocabulary size. This demonstrates that the dual presentation mode might assist lower proficiency L2 learners to stay on task and follow the written text more easily (Tragant et al., 2016).

The effectiveness of joint provision of written and oral information has also been examined in a number of studies in health-care settings (for a review see Hoek et al., 2020). These studies were mostly conducted with L1 speakers. One of the main areas of investigation has been how well patients understand information and instructions when they or their children are discharged from hospital. In the majority of these studies, patients receive written information alongside with spoken explanation and health professionals retell the key points in the written discharge letter. Therefore, the procedures are not exactly the same as in educational contexts where the same text is being read out to the students. Nonetheless, a recent meta-analysis of studies on patient discharge information in different modalities, by Hoek et al. (2020) has found that when oral discharge information is complemented by a written text, patients can recall significantly more information.

2.2. THE ROLE OF VOCABULARY KNOWLEDGE IN TEXT COMPREHENSION

As mentioned earlier, knowledge about the meanings and phonological and orthographic form of words is crucial for efficient text comprehension (cf. Perfetti, 2007). Lexical quality (LQ) is the degree to which an individual's knowledge of a given word represents the word's form, meaning, and the contexts in which the word is used (Perfetti, 2007). Individuals differ in the LQ of the words they know, and readers' lexicons will include words of varying LQ, from rare words which are infrequently encountered to known, frequent, words (Perfetti, 2007). Quality refers to the extent to which a mental representation of a word specifies its meaning and form in a way that is flexible and precise (Perfetti, 2007). Precision is important in comprehension, because it enables readers to activate the lexical representation corresponding to sensory input, minimizing the chance of activating competing lexical items. Lexical representations also have to be flexible because some words or their definitions are interconnected and may mean the same thing, for example, "flu jab" and "flu vaccine" share the same meaning.

Variation in lexical quality has consequences for text comprehension as words and sentences serve as foundations of meaning (Perfetti, 2007). Mistakes at the word and sentence level may limit processing at the higher level required to build a mental model of the text. In the case of informational texts, such as health-related texts, which also include technical vocabulary, vocabulary knowledge is thought to be a particularly strong contributor to reading comprehension (e.g., Chin et al., 2015). Vocabulary knowledge plays a crucial role in L2 reading as well (e.g., Brysbaert et al., 2017; Geva & Farnia, 2012; Qian, 1999). According to the lexical entrenchment hypothesis, differences between bilinguals and monolinguals can be attributed to the difference in language exposure (Brysbaert et al., 2017). Critically, individuals with less language exposure are likely to have a smaller vocabulary size than those with more language exposure. In turn, those with smaller vocabularies are likely to have lower quality lexical representations and to be less efficient at word recognition and decoding than those with larger vocabularies (Brysbaert et al., 2017; Perfetti, 2007). A large body of empirical research supports the lexical entrenchment hypothesis and the crucial role of L2 vocabulary knowledge in L2 reading comprehension (e.g., Van Gelderen et al., 2004). Jeon and Yamashita's (2014) meta-analysis showed that the shared variance between L2 reading and vocabulary measures was approximately 62%.

To the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have examined the role of general and health-related vocabulary knowledge in the comprehension of health-related texts in an L2 despite the fact that research evidence suggests that limited English proficiency can result in inadequate health literacy (Sentell & Braun, 2012). There is also a lack of research on whether presenting health-related texts in dual modality benefits comprehension and whether any potential impact of the mode of presentation varies across readers with differing levels of

multiple-choice items (cf. Ozuru et al., 2013). Questions were written in English and answers also had to be given in English. The questions targeted specific information that were judged key to the understanding of the main health-related information conveyed in the text (https://osf.io/8nq7x/?view_only=ffdc0c6b98ba499bae9df763ee2a17cf). The design of the comprehension questions went through several iterations and involved consultations with a medical expert in the UK and a medical professional in Sri-Lanka. Care was taken in wording the questions in a way that they would be easy to understand for the target population. The texts and questions were first piloted with a sample of 20 students from the target population. Revisions to the items were carried out based on the lessons from the pilot that showed that some items were not of the appropriate difficulty and another round of piloting followed with 40 participants. Minor adjustments were made after the second round of piloting for items that did not have appropriate facility values and that contributed negatively to reliability. The Cronbach's alpha of the comprehension test was 0.793 in the main study. Participants were given a maximum of minutes to answer items for each text.

3.2.2. Recordings of texts

The four texts were recorded in English by Indrarathne whose L1 is Sinhala. As English is widely used as a mediating language in Sri Lanka, a local variety of spoken English was thought to represent higher level of authenticity than a native speaker variety. The recordings were played while the participants read the texts in the reading-while listening mode. The recordings were of the following length: T1: 96 seconds, T2: 110 seconds, T3: 137 seconds and T4: 111 seconds. Reading speed ranged from 116 to 147 words per minute. This approximates the typical narration speed for audiobooks, which is 150 words per minute (Williams, 1998).

3.2.3. Vocabulary Knowledge Test

We used Nation and Beglar's (2007) Vocabulary Size Test, which is a test of word meaning recognition specifically designed for L2 speakers (validity evidence for the test is provided in Beglar (2010)). The full test consists of 140 multiple choice items. Each item is a short sentence in which a word is boldfaced. Four options were given under each item and the participants were required to choose the meaning of the word from the four options. We asked participants to complete the first 100 items, which assess the knowledge of words up to the 11th level of 1000 words. Higher levels of the Vocabulary Size test were not used as even C2 level learners on the CEFR (Council of Europe, 2001) are unlikely to have receptive knowledge of words above this frequency level (cf. Milton, 2010). A maximum of thirty minutes was allocated to this task.

3.2.4. Health literacy vocabulary assessment (HLVA)

The HLVA has been developed by Ratajczak (2020) as a bespoke instrument to measure vocabulary-based health literacy of L1 and L2 English participants (see: https://osf.io/8nq7x/?view_only=ffdc0c6b98ba499bae9df763ee2a17cf). The test was found to be an important predictor of health-related text comprehension for both L1 and L2 readers in Ratajczak's study, and it discriminated between individuals of different health literacy levels regardless of language background relatively well. The motivation for using a bespoke instrument was to avoid the ceiling effects found in some previous investigations that used standardized measures of health literacy, such as the Short Assessment of Functional Health Literacy (Williams et al., 1999). The HLVA includes 22 lexical items chosen from the Oxford's Concise Medical Dictionary (Martin, 2015) that were selected following consultations with a medical expert. These lexical items vary in BNC (BNC Consortium, 2007) and SUBTLEX-UK (van Heuven et al., 2014) corpora frequencies, and during the HLVA administration participants have to define these lexical items. In the current investigation, participants had to define the HLVA items in their L1 Sinhala. The instrument was checked and deemed appropriate for use in the Sri Lankan context by a health professional. Piloting in Sri Lanka prior to the main study showed that the test had appropriate psychometric characteristics.

3.3. PROCEDURE

The study was approved by Lancaster University's Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences Research Ethics Committee. Participants were asked to give informed consent prior to the beginning of the study. Participants were separated into eight groups to counterbalance the order of the

Our study investigated the question how the mode of presentation, L2 English vocabulary knowledge, and L2 English health vocabulary predict comprehension of English health-related texts amongst Sri-Lankan university students. Before answering this question, it is important to highlight that the mean scores on the health-related comprehension questions indicate that even university students in the investigated Sri Lankan context have difficulties understanding health-related information presented in English accurately. Although the standard deviation figures suggest a relatively large spread in comprehension scores, the overall mean of comprehension performance is 58.629%, which health-literacy standards consider as problematic health literacy (Sørensen et al., 2015). The low level of understanding of these texts in L2 English is particularly concerning as in another project, Indrarathne and Kormos (in preparation) found that when the same texts were presented to the participants in their L1, comprehension rate was above 80% even in a non-university educated sample. The inadequate level of comprehension of health-related information can have serious consequences not only for individuals' health and well-being, but also for disease prevention and control. For example, one of our texts was about what people need to do if they are infected with the H1N1 virus, and in a pandemic situation lack of understanding or misunderstanding of key safety guidance can have a grave impact on the spread of a disease.

One of the possible reasons for the low level of understanding of health-related texts in our sample might be related to the lexical coverage of the texts in relation to students' estimated vocabulary size. Based on procedures outline in Nation and Beglar (2007), it is possible to make projections for the vocabulary size of the participants. The vocabulary size of the sample (cf. [Table 3](#)) as a whole can be hypothesized to be around 3,000-word families, with the lower proficiency student group having a knowledge of 2,500 and the higher proficiency 3,500 word families. Even though the overall readability indices indicated that the texts were not highly complex, the percentage of the lexical items in the texts likely to be known (i.e., above the 3K word frequency level) by our participants fell between 96.1% and 89.3%. These figures are below the threshold of 95–98% of the word familiarity index assumed to be needed for the adequate comprehension of texts by L2 readers (cf. Adolphs & Schmitt, 2003; Hu & Nation, 2000).

Our results reveal that the mean predicted probability of correctly answering comprehension questions was higher in the reading-while-listening condition than in the reading-only condition (by approximately 4.380%), but that this difference was highly uncertain, as the direction of the effect could not have been reliably estimated [-2.699, 10.799]. Although the effect of dual mode of presentation on comprehension in our study is higher than that reported in the meta-analysis of studies in the L1 field (cf. Buzick & Stone, 2014), it is non-significant. As we found no interaction between L2 vocabulary knowledge and mode of text presentation, which can be considered as a proxy for language proficiency and language exposure (Brysbaert et al., 2017), it seems that the dual mode of presentation of health-related texts does not confer a substantial advantage regardless of the level of L2 competence of Sri Lankan university students. These results are in line with a number of studies in the L2 field (cf. Kořak-Babuder et al., 2019; Kozan et al., 2015; Pellicer-Sanchez et al., 2018; Reed, 2014) and might potentially be explained with reference to Paas and Sweller's (2012) Cognitive Load Theory that postulates that learners' cognitive system might be overloaded if they have to process verbal information simultaneously. However, if the effect of dual presentation mode had been detrimental and had interfered with text comprehension, a decrease in scores in the reading-while-listening mode would have been observed. It is more likely that while learners' attention might have been divided between the listening and reading modes, the two sensory channels of visual and auditory modes might have had a supportive effect (cf. Mayer, 2014). These parallel sensory-modality and text representation effects might have balanced each other out, and might have resulted in no substantial differences in text comprehension.

Our findings suggest that when reading health-related texts that require health specific vocabulary, hearing the text read out, does not reliably improve comprehension. Although it is possible that exposure to the phonological form of lexical items facilitates recognizing the form of a word (Ferrand & Grainger, 1993) as also reported by some participants in Vu and Peter's (2020) study, it does not seem to confer advantages for accessing the meaning of lexical

items and ultimately for decoding sentence and text-level information in our investigated L2 context. The fact that the mean scores on both vocabulary measures were relatively low (cf. [Table 3](#)) also supports the assumption that if the meaning of lexical items is not known by L2 speakers, phonological facilitation effects do not enhance readers in constructing an adequate mental model of the text. Therefore, simply providing a recorded version of a text in English alongside with the written text is unlikely to raise the comprehension level of health-related information for the general population of university students in the investigated Sri-Lankan context. However, for increasing accessibility of written texts for those with visual impairments and potentially with learning difficulties, it might still be good practice to offer a recorded text of health-related information particularly if the material is available digitally.

In line with previous studies that have demonstrated the important role of vocabulary knowledge in L2 reading (e.g., Brysbaert et al., 2017; Geva & Farnia, 2012; Jeon & Yamashita, 2014; Qian, 2002; Van Gelderen et al., 2004; Zhang & Zhang, 2020), our research also found that L2 users with higher level of general vocabulary knowledge understand texts significantly better than those with a smaller vocabulary knowledge size. Given that one standard deviation increase in general vocabulary of Sri Lankan students was predicted to increase the probability of answering the comprehension question correctly by approximately 8.31%, the effect of vocabulary knowledge can be considered quite substantial.

Health-related vocabulary knowledge was found to play a similarly important role in the comprehension of health-related texts. The predicted probability of an accurate answer to a question on the comprehension test was predicted to increase by 8.74% for each standard deviation increase in health-related vocabulary. In line with Chin et al.'s (2018) study with L1 readers, this finding demonstrates that familiarity with key health-related terms, which forms an integral part of health-literacy, is necessary for the successful comprehension of L2 health-related texts. The somewhat higher explanatory power of health-related vocabulary knowledge might be due to two reasons. First of all, although health-related vocabulary knowledge and general vocabulary knowledge were found to overlap and share variance in our study, technical vocabulary knowledge also requires background knowledge. Lack of relevant background knowledge may impede meaning integration processes and inference making, and ultimately result in impaired comprehension (e.g., Kintsch, 1998). In an L2 context it can also act as a barrier for readers/listeners to infer the meaning of unknown lexis. The importance of technical vocabulary knowledge over and above general vocabulary for the L2 comprehension of science related-text was also highlighted by Ardasheva et al.'s (2017) study.

These results can have potentially important implications for the enhancement of health-literacy in contexts similar to Sri-Lanka. First, to promote a higher level of accurate health-related text comprehension in an L2, the L2 vocabulary knowledge of the population might need to be improved. Health-related vocabulary knowledge might also need to be taught together with general vocabulary in English language classes, particularly at secondary school level, so that those who do not go on to study in higher education, would also be able to understand health-related texts to a satisfactory level. Ideally, health-related information is best delivered in the L1 of the readers but this might not always be possible for a variety of reasons. In this case, key medical terms that are needed for the understanding of L2 health-related texts might be glossed and explained in readers' L1. It is also important to health-education at school to achieve a higher level of functional health literacy across all levels of society in the future.

Our research is not without limitations. Although our sample size was relatively large, the participants were recruited from only two universities in Sri Lanka and not all subject areas that one can study at university were covered. Further research would be needed not only with a more representative university student population but also with the participation of non-university educated population. This would yield better insights into the level of health-related text-comprehension in the general population in Sri Lanka and would enable us to generalize these findings beyond the population of university students. As we mentioned earlier, literacy in L1 is relatively high in the Sri-Lankan context and therefore comprehension of health-related L2 texts might be much poorer in countries where L1 literacy rates and L2 proficiency are lower. In our study, we only measured participants' comprehension of health-related texts using four texts. A wider selection of texts would allow us to examine the comprehension of different

types of health-related information. Finally, more empirical research would be needed that examines differences between the comprehension of health-related information in L1 and L2 and whether explicit teaching of L2 health-related vocabulary or offering L1 vocabulary glosses in L2 health-related texts facilitates comprehension.

ETHICS AND CONSENT

This project was approved by Lancaster University's Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences Research Ethics Committee (approval number: FL17214).

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

Michael Ratajczak  orcid.org/0000-0003-0562-5328

Department of Linguistics and English Language, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YF, Lancaster, GB

Bimali Indrarathne

Department of Education, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, GB

Judit Kormos

Department of Linguistics and English Language, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YF, Lancaster, GB

REFERENCES

- Adams, C., Campbell, A., Montgomery, R., Cooper, M., & Kirkpatrick, A.** (Eds.) (2021, May 21). Web content accessibility guidelines (WCAG) 2.2. <https://www.w3.org/TR/2021/WD-WCAG22-20210521/>
- Andringa, S., & Godfroid, A.** (2020). Sampling bias and the problem of generalizability in applied linguistics. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 40(1), 134–142. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190520000033>
- Ardasheva, Y., Newcomer, S. N., Firestone, J. B., & Lamb, R. L.** (2017). Mediation in the relationship among EL status, vocabulary, and science reading comprehension. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 110(6), 665–674. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2016.1175407>
- Baayen, R. H.** (2008). Chapter 7: Mixed models. In *Analyzing linguistic data. A practical introduction to statistics using R* (pp. 263–328). Cambridge University Press. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511801686.008>
- Barr, D. J., Levy, R., Scheepers, C., & Tily, H. J.** (2013). Random effects structure for confirmatory hypothesis testing: Keep it maximal. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 68(3), 255–278. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2012.11.001>
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S.** (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), 1–48. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01>
- Beglar, D.** (2010). A Rasch-based validation of the Vocabulary Size Test. *Language Testing*, 27(1), 101–118. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532209340194>
- BNC Consortium.** (2007). The British national corpus, version 3 (BNC XML Edition). *Distributed by Oxford University Computing Services on behalf of the BNC Consortium*, 5(65), 6.
- Bolton, K., Botha, W., & Kirkpatrick, A.** (2020) Asian Englishes today. In K. Bolton, W. Botha, A. Kirkpatrick (Eds.), *The Handbook of Asian Englishes* (pp. 15–48) John Wiley & Sons. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118791882>
- Brybaert, M., Lagrou, E., & Stevens, M.** (2017). Visual word recognition in a second language: A test of the lexical entrenchment hypothesis with lexical decision times. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 20(3), 530–548. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728916000353>
- Buzick, H., & Stone, E.** (2014). A metaanalysis of research on the read aloud accommodation. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 33(1), 17V30. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/emip.12040>
- Chin, J., Moeller, D. D., Johnson, J., Duwe, E. A. G., Graulich, J. F., Murray, M. D., & Morrow, D. G.** (2018). A multi-faceted approach to promote comprehension of online health information among older adults. *Gerontologist*, 58(4), 686–695. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnw254>
- Chin, J., Payne, B., Gao, X., Conner-Garcia, T., Graulich, J., Murray, M. D., Morrow, D. G., & Stine-Morrow, E. A. L.** (2015). Memory and comprehension for health information among older adults: Distinguishing the effects of domain-general and domain-specific knowledge. *Memory*, 23(4), 577–589. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09658211.2014.912331>
- Cobb, T.** Web Vocabprofile. <http://www.lexutor.ca/vp/>
- Council of Europe.** (2001). *Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: learning, teaching, assessment*. Cambridge University Press.
- Conklin, K., Alotaibi, S., Pellicer-Sanchez, A., & Vilkaite-Lozdiene, L.** (2020). What eye-tracking tells us about reading-only and reading-while-listening in a first and second language. *Second Language Research*, 36(3), 257V276. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0267658320921496>

- Crossley, S. A., Greenfield, J., & McNamara, D. S.** (2008). Assessing text readability using cognitively based indices. *TESOL Quarterly*, 42(3), 475V493. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/40264479>
- Ferrand, L., & Grainger, J.** (1993). The time course of orthographic and phonological code activation in the early phases of visual word recognition. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, 31(2), 119–122. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03334157>
- Geva, E., & Farnia, F.** (2012). Developmental changes in the nature of language proficiency and reading fluency paint a more complex view of reading comprehension in ELL and EL1. *Reading and Writing*, 25(8), 1819–1845. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-011-9333-8>
- Hoek, A. E., Anker, S. C., van Beeck, E. F., Burdorf, A., Rood, P. P., & Haagsma, J. A.** (2020). Patient discharge instructions in the Emergency Department and their effects on comprehension and recall of discharge instructions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 75(3), 435–444. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2019.06.008>
- Hu, H. C., & Nation, P.** (2000). Unknown word density and reading comprehension. *Reading in Foreign Language*, 13(1), 403–430.
- Indrarathne, B., & Kormos, J.** (in preparation). Health-literacy in Sinhala and English among general public and undergraduates in Sri Lanka.
- Jaeger, T. F.** (2008). Categorical data analysis: Away from ANOVAs (transformation or not) and towards logit mixed models. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 59(4), 434–446. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2007.11.007>
- Jeon, E. H., & Yamashita, J.** (2014). L2 reading comprehension and its correlates: A meta-analysis. *Language Learning*, 64(1), 160–212. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/lang.12034>
- Kincaid, J. P., Fishburne, R. P., Rogers, R. L., & Chissom, B. S.** (1975). Derivation of new readability formulas (Automated Readability Index, Fog Count and Flesch Reading Ease Formula) for Navy enlisted personnel, Research Branch Report 8–75. Millington, TN: Naval Technical Training, U. S. Naval Air Station, Memphis, TN.
- Kintsch, W.** (1998). *Comprehension: A paradigm for cognition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Košak-Babuder, M., Kormos, J., Ratajczak, M., & Pizorn, K.** (2019). The effect of read-aloud assistance on the text comprehension of dyslexic and non-dyslexic English language learners. *Language Testing*, 36(1), 51–75. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532218756946>
- Kozan, K., Erçetin, G., & Richardson, J. C.** (2015). Input modality and working memory: effects on second language text comprehension in a multimedia learning environment. *System*, 55(1), 63–73. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2015.09.001>
- Li, H.** (2014). The effects of readaloud accommodations for students with and without disabilities: A meta analysis. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 33(1), 3–16. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/emip.12027>
- Martin, E.** (Ed.) (2015). *Concise colour medical dictionary*. Oxford Quick Reference. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/acref/9780199687817.001.0001>
- Mayer, R.** (2014). Cognitive theory of multimedia learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning* (pp. 1–24). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139547369.002>
- Milton, J.** (2010). The development of vocabulary breadth across the CEFR levels. Communicative proficiency and linguistic development: Intersections between SLA and language testing research, In I. Bartning, M. Martin, & I. Vedder (Eds.), *Communicative proficiency and linguistic development: Intersections between SLA and language testing research* (pp. 211–232). European Second Language Association.
- Moreno, R., & Mayer, R. E.** (2002). Verbal redundancy in multimedia learning: When reading helps listening. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 94(1), 156–163. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.94.1.156>
- Nakagawa, S., Johnson, P. C. D., & Schielzeth, H.** (2017). The coefficient of determination R² and intra-class correlation coefficient from generalized linear mixed-effects models revisited and expanded. *Journal of the Royal Society, Interface*, 14. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2017.0213>
- Nation, I. S. P., & Beglar, D.** (2007) A vocabulary size test. *The Language Teacher*, 31(7), 9–13.
- Ozuru, Y., Briner, S., Kurby, C. A., & McNamara, D. S.** (2013). Comparing comprehension measured by multiple-choice and open-ended questions. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 67(3), 215–227. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032918>
- Paas, F., & Sweller, J.** (2012). An evolutionary upgrade of cognitive load theory: Using the human motor system and collaboration to support the learning of complex cognitive tasks. *Educational Psychology Review*, 24(1), 27–45. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-011-9179-2>
- Paivio, A.** (1991). Dual coding theory: Retrospect and current status. *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, 45(2), 255–287. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0084295>
- Pellicer-Sánchez, A., Tragant, E., Conklin, K., Rodgers, M., Llanes, A., & Serrano, R.** (2018). L2 reading and reading-while-listening in multimodal learning conditions: An eye-tracking study. *ELT Research Papers*, 18(1), 1–28.

- Perfetti, C.** (2007). Reading ability: Lexical quality to comprehension. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 11, 357–383. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888430701530730>
- Qian, D.** (1999). Assessing the roles of depth and breadth of vocabulary knowledge in reading comprehension. *Canadian Modern Language Review*, 56(2), 282–308. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3138/cmlr.56.2.282>
- Qian, D. D.** (2002). Investigating the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and academic reading performance: An assessment perspective. *Language Learning*, 52(3), 513–536. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9922.00193>
- Ratajczak, M.** (2020). The effects of individual differences and linguistic features on reading comprehension of health-related texts. [Doctoral dissertation, Lancaster University]. Eprints Lancaster University <https://eprints.lancs.ac.uk/id/eprint/143607/1/2020ratajczakphd.pdf>
- R Core Team.** (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R foundation for statistical computing. <http://www.R-project.org/>
- Reed, D. K., Swanson, E., Petscher, Y., & Vaughn, S.** (2014). The effects of teacher read-alouds and student silent reading on predominantly bilingual high school seniors' learning and retention of social studies content. *Reading and Writing*, 27(6), 1119–1140. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-013-9478-8>
- Sentell, T., & Braun, K. L.** (2012). Low health literacy, limited English proficiency, and health status in Asians, Latinos, and other racial/ethnic groups in California. *Journal of Health Communication*, 17(sup3), 82V99. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730.2012.712621>
- Sørensen, K., Pelikan, J. M., Röthlin, F., Ganahl, K., Slonska, Z., Doyle, G., ... & Falcon, M.** (2015). Health literacy in Europe: comparative results of the European health literacy survey (HLS-EU). *European Journal of Public Health*, 25(6), 1053–1058. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckv043>
- Tragant, E., Muñoz, C., & Spada, N.** (2016). Maximizing young learners' input: An intervention program. *Canadian Modern Language Review*, 72(2), 234–257. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3138/cmlr.2942>
- Van Gelderen, A., Schoonen, R., De Glopper, K., Hulstijn, J., Simis, A., Snellings, P., & Stevenson, M.** (2004). Linguistic knowledge, processing speed, and metacognitive knowledge in first- and second-language comprehension: A componential analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96(1), 19–30. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.96.1.19>
- Van Heuven, W. J., Mander, P., Keuleers, E., & Brysbaert, M.** (2014). SUBTLEX-UK: A new and improved word frequency database for British English. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 67(6), 1176–1190. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17470218.2013.850521>
- Vu, D. V., & Peters, E.** (2020). Learning vocabulary from reading-only, reading-while-listening, and reading with textual input enhancement: Insights from Vietnamese EFL learners. *RELC Journal*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688220911485>
- Zhang, S., & Zhang, X.** (2020). The relationship between vocabulary knowledge and L2 reading/listening comprehension: A meta-analysis. *Language Teaching Research*, <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1362168820913998>. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168820913998>

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Ratajczak, M., Indrarathne, B., & Kormos, J. (2021). The effects of read-aloud assistance, vocabulary and background knowledge on comprehension of health-related texts of Sri-Lankan English as second language speakers. *Journal of the European Second Language Association*, 5(1), 133–147. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22599/jesla.78>

Submitted: 21 June 2021
Accepted: 07 December 2021
Published: 29 December 2021

COPYRIGHT:

© 2021 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Journal of the European Second Language Association, is a peer-reviewed open access journal published by White Rose University Press.